

CONFERENCE4 – 7 December 2018EXHIBITION5 – 7 December 2018Tokyo International Forum, JapanSA2018.SIGGRAPH.ORG

GPU-Based Large-Scale Scientific Visualization

Johanna Beyer, Harvard University Markus Hadwiger, KAUST

Course Website: http://johanna-b.github.io/LargeSciVis2018/index.html





COURSE OVERVIEW - TOPICS

- 1. Introduction to scalable volume visualization
 - Focus on volume data
 - General scalability and out-of-core techniques
- 2. Scalable GPU volume rendering
 - Virtual texturing
 - GPU virtual memory architectures
- 3. Ray-guided volume rendering
 - Visibility-driven data processing
 - Empty-space skipping
- 4. Display-aware visualization and processing



COURSE OVERVIEW - MATERIAL

Course webpage (updated material):

http://johanna-b.github.io/LargeSciVis2018/index.html

State-of-the-Art in GPU-Based Large-Scale Volume Visualization

[J. Beyer, M. Hadwiger, H. Pfister; Computer Graphics Forum, 2015] https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3071497



COURSE OVERVIEW - SCHEDULE

- Part 1 Introduction & Basics of Scalable Volume Visualization Markus Hadwiger [2:15pm – 3:15pm]
- Part 2 Scalable Volume Visualization Architectures Johanna Beyer [3:15pm – 4:00pm]
- Break [4:00pm – 4:15pm]



COURSE OVERVIEW - SCHEDULE

- Part 3 GPU-Based Ray-Guided Volume Rendering Johanna Beyer [4:15pm – 5:15pm]
- Part 4 Display-Aware Visualization and Processing Markus Hadwiger [5:15pm – 5:45pm]
- Wrap-Up, Summary Johanna Beyer, Markus Hadwiger [5:45pm – 6:00pm]



Part 1 -Introduction & Basics of Scalable Volume Visualization



Motivation



"In information technology, big data is a collection of data sets so large and complex that it becomes difficult to process using on-hand database management tools or traditional data processing applications. The challenges include capture, curation, storage, search, sharing, analysis, and visualization."

'Big Data' on wikipedia.org

Our main interest: Very large 3D volume data



Example: Connectomics (neuroscience)



DATA-DRIVEN SCIENCE (E-SCIENCE)



courtesy Stefan Bruckner



VOLUME DATA GROWTH



courtesy Jens Krüger



DATA SIZE EXAMPLES

year	paper	data set size	comments
2002	Guthe et al.	512 x 512 x 999 (500 MB) 2,048 x 1,216 x 1,877 (4.4 GB)	multi-pass, wavelet compression, streaming from disk
2003	Krüger & Westermann	256 x 256 x 256 (32 MB)	single-pass ray-casting
2005	Hadwiger et al.	576 x 352 x 1,536 (594 MB)	single-pass ray-casting (bricked)
2006	Ljung et al.	512 x 512 x 628 (314 MB) 512 x 512 x 3396 (1.7 GB)	single-pass ray-casting, multi-resolution
2008	Gobbetti et al.	2,048 x 1,024 x 1,080 (4.2 GB)	'ray-guided' ray-casting with occlusion queries
2009	Crassin et al.	8,192 x 8,192 x 8,192 (512 GB)	ray-guided ray-casting
2011	Engel	8,192 x 8,192 x 16,384 (1 TB)	ray-guided ray-casting
2012	Hadwiger et al.	18,000 x 18,000 x 304 (92 GB) 21,494 x 25,790 x 1,850 (955 GB)	ray-guided ray-casting visualization-driven system
2013	Fogal et al.	1,728 x 1,008 x 1,878 (12.2 GB) 8,192 x 8,192 x 8,192 (512 GB)	ray-guided ray-casting
2018	Beyer et al.	21,494 x 25,790 x 1,850 (955 GB) images + 10,747 x 12,895 x 1,850 (489 GB) segmentation	ray-guided ray-casting, empty space skipping

The Connectome

How is the Mammalian Brain Wired?







ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (EM) IMAGES





PETAVOXEL MICROSCOPY VOLUMES

- Huge amount of raw data (terabytes to petabytes)
- Takes months to years to scan, align, segment
- How to visualize and analyze this?









10.40																			
		8 8		3 6		6				к		M	N	0	P Q	R. 1			
1 100		¥		Bouton No.											hutpene hame				
		7952	9574	\$258 08 Boutor		252				1.255-45	4,536+90				8 80 Spine 308	6.636.42	2	4 P50,55	
3	2	2943	7530	2372 OB BOURD	1	185	0			6.796-02	3.346-00				8 80 tpine 285	4.338-62	3	 0 PS0_13	
4		0543	\$254	SNE 08 BOUTOV											8 80 Spine 87	3.486-62	2	0 PSD 18	
8	4	7584	9636	3276 OB Bouter	1										8 BD Spine 16		3		
	5	0909	1114	3241 08 80-004	1	100		1		5.225-55	1.126-01				8 UD 5pine 80	3.681-01	1	 1 990 17	
2		6357	62.0	KING DB BOUTON						3,900-42	L-NIC-00				# UD Spine \$6	2.680.42		 4 PSD, 500	6
	7	4147	8820	3203 08 80,000	2	490		1		2326-02	4,778-00				8 80 Spine 49	1.401-01	2	1 P30.48	
		7900	9006	XXXI 08 Bouttor	2	412				5.156-61	1.266-01				8 80 Spine 79	2,256-61	2	1 P50.25	
3.0		7064	9029	200 OB Boulor	2			3	- 0						8 BO Spine 82	3,238-64	2	1 P90_77	
10	20	5745	3043	2342 08 Boutor		160				4010-00	8.425-00				8 80 Spine 30 redune	2.541-02	1	1 190 12	
12	11	6066	9862	XINE DB BOUTON		545				5.130.42	3-342-00				8 80 Spine 42	3,956,42	2	 1 PS0, 28	
13	12	2662	6239	32H1 08 BOUTON		217				1,796-02	4,768-00				8 80 Spine 68	4.681-02	2	 0 P30.40	
54	1.0	5262	2506	106 08 Bouter											# LD-Spine #	53842		0.250,24	
15	14	4830	61.79	2227 Billeyten			1		- 6					67	80 taine 12b		- 2		
18	15	6752	101	2287 08 80-00		122	1			3,395-95	5.625-00				8 80 Spine 58	3.5%-41		1 790.00	
12	14	4944	6766	Milli Of Bouter		418				1.887-01	1,767+00				8 80 Saine 80	5.581-62	2	 0 250 100	
18	17	2417	1226	2287 DB BOURS	7	435				2440-02	5.596+00				8 80 Spine 43	3.389-01	2	 1 990.69	
19	14	6011	9005	XXX 08 Bouter	7	154				1.956-08	4.812-00				8 80 Spine 58	3,205-01	2	1 250,29	
20	29	4246	9471	3387 OB Boutor	7	1218		1		1.358-90	2,216-05				8 80 Jane 29	6.876-02	2	 0 710 44	
	10	5649	100	33N (08 Boutor		544				2.400-02	7.065-00				8 04 Spine 349	5.586-62		0 990.52	
20	28	4015	8530	XXX OB BOUTOF		882				1.720-05	6.762+00				8 80 84 Spine 1	3,248,42	2	 0 PSD_80	
22	22	3219	6254	3171 8KB0,014											8 80 Spine 18		2	 1	
24	29	4257	1416	XXX4 OB Bouter											8 80 Spine 68	2286-62	2	4 PS0,85	
25	24	4640	500	2279 BKBoylon											# Off Same 35				
	15	5230	9069	XXX (08 BOUTSY		576				1.796-41	7.856-00				8 00 Spine 34	3.5%-41	1	1 490.82	
27	26	75.75	8523	XXIII OB Boutor	30	167				1.130-05	3.756+00				# 80 Spine 35	1.082-04	3	 P50_84	
28	27	2017	63.28	3234 08 80,000	11	1495				4.425-01	1.008-01				8 80 Spine 21	3.5.81-62	2	 4 PS0.50	
29	26	4436	85.00	1216 BK Bouter	12										8 80 Spine 9		2		
30	29	4429	8640	3377 OB Boutor	12	481	1			1.846-01	5.486-00				8 80 tpine 12	3.896-05	2	1 /90_24	
M.	80	5389	4521	SING OR BOUTSY	12	475				2 256-45	5.552+00				8 80 Spine 22	358.48	2	0.050,86	
32	14	4130		1329 OB Bouliar	12	488				1430-01	7.818+00				8 BO Spine 6	3,996-62	3	 1 PSD_85	
22	12	6211	9061	3212 OB BOUTOY	1.5	252	1			1.025-01	3.455+00				8 80 Spine 29	3396-62	2	0 PS0_28	
14	88	6363	9673	XXX OB Boutor	1.8	266		2		3.480-05	8.562-00				8 80 Spine 37	2476-44	2	1 PS0,25	
35	54	6300	90%	2222 OB Boutton	1.0		0	2							# CHIES Spine 7a	4.886-02	4	4 PS0_26	
16	85	6475	8946	NUM OR BOUTOF	5.4	295				5.586.42	3.356-00				8.00 Spine 90	3,366.42	2	4 #50,30	
3.7	36	4237	NI	2320 BK Buylor	14										8 80 Spine 32		3		
28	17	6254	6942	2028460404	15										8 80 Spine 31		1		
39	38	5430	8629	XXL7 D6 Boutor	16	61				5.886.42	2.536+90				8 80 Spine 32	6.846-62	2	4 PS0_36	
40	29	5630	\$200	3/10 OB BOUTLY	18	43				5.306-02	2.256-00				8 80 85 Spine 20	3.041-02	2	0 PS0_30	
40	40	5240	8557	XIN2 BK Boutor	1.9										8 80 85 Spine 4		2		
42	41	3201	NHI	198 OB Boulton	13	1329	1			4,390-01	8.808+00				8 80 83 Syme 7	3.380-04	2	 1 PSD_300	
40	42	MID	1925	3379 8436v/ton	19										8 80 Spine 368		2		
44	43	8790	7680	2263 BKBoylon	19										80 Spine 73		2		
-	-84	5019	9634	3004 DB BOUTON	19		1								# Off Spine 3	2.3%-01	4	1 990,300	
	43	10.04	1144	NO BARDWARD	20										8 80 86.1 Seine 12				



Course focus

- (Single) GPUs in standard workstations
- Scalar volume data; single time step
- But a lot applies to more general settings...

Techniques orthogonal to this course (will not cover details)

- Parallel and distributed rendering, clusters, supercomputers, ...
- Compression (encoding, decoding, ...)



RELATED BOOKS AND SURVEYS

Books

- Real-Time Volume Graphics, Engel et al., 2006
- High-Performance Visualization, Bethel et al., 2012

Surveys

- GPU-Based Large-Scale Volume Visualization: Beyer et al. '15
- Parallel Visualization: Wittenbrink '98, Bartz et al. '00, Zhang et al. '05
- Real Time Interactive Massive Model Visualization: Kasik et al. '06
- Vis and Visual Analysis of Multifaceted Scientific Data: Kehrer and Hauser '13
- Compressed GPU-Based Volume Rendering: Rodriguez et al. '14
- Web-based Visualization: Mwalongo et al. '16
- In-Situ Methods, Infrastructures, and Applications in High Performace Comp.: Bauer et al. '16
- State of the art in transfer functions for direct volume rendering: Ljung et al. '16



Fundamentals



VOLUME RENDERING (1)

Assign optical properties (color, opacity) via transfer function





VOLUME RENDERING (2)

Ray-casting





Traditional HPC, parallel rendering definitions

- Strong scaling ("more nodes are faster for same data")
- Weak scaling ("more nodes allow larger data")

Our interest/definition: output sensitivity

- Running time/storage proportional to size of output instead of input
 - Computational effort scales with visible data and screen resolution
 - Working set independent of original data size



SOME TERMINOLOGY

Output-sensitive algorithms

• Standard term in occlusion culling (of geometry)

Ray-guided volume rendering

- Determine working set via ray-casting
- Actual visibility; not approximate as in traditional occlusion culling

Visualization-driven pipeline

• Drive entire visualization pipeline (including processing) by actual on-screen visibility

Display-aware techniques

• Image processing, ... for current on-screen resolution



LARGE-SCALE VISUALIZATION PIPELINE





LARGE-SCALE VISUALIZATION PIPELINE





Basic Scalability Issues



SCALABILITY ISSUES

Scalability issues	Scalable method
Data representation and storage	Multi-resolution data structures
	Data layout, compression
Work/data partitioning	In-core/out-of-core
	Parallel, distributed
Work/data reduction	Pre-processing
	On-demand processing
	Streaming
	In-situ visualization
	Query-based visualization



SCALABILITY ISSUES

Scalability issues	Scalable method		
Data representation and storage	Multi-resolution data structures		
	Data layout, compression		
Work/data partitioning	In-core/out-of-core		
	Parallel, distributed		
Work/data reduction	Pre-processing		
	On-demand processing		
	Streaming		
	In-situ visualization		
	Query-based visualization		



DATA REPRESENTATIONS

Data structure	Acceleration	Out-of-Core	Multi-Resolution
Mipmaps	-	Clipmaps	Yes
Uniform bricking	Cull bricks (linear)	Working set (bricks)	No
Hierarch. bricking	Cull bricks (hierarch.)	Working set (bricks)	Bricked mipmap
Octrees	Hierarchical traversal	Working set (subtree)	Yes (interior nodes)
A '++			

Additional issues

- Data layout (linear order, Z/Morton order, ...)
- Compression



UNIFORM VS. HIERARCHICAL DATA DECOMPOSITION

Grids

• Uniform or non-uniform

Hierarchical data structures

- Pyramid of uniform grids
 - Bricked 2D/3D mipmaps
- Tree structures
 - Quadtree, octree, kd-tree



wikipedia.org



Object space (data) decomposition

- Subdivide data domain into small bricks
- Re-orders data for spatial locality
- Each brick is now one unit (culling, paging, loading, ...)







What brick size to use?

- Small bricks
 - + Good granularity:

Better culling efficiency, tighter working set, ...

BRICKING (2)

- More bricks to cull, more overhead for ghost voxels, one rendering pass per brick is infeasible
- Traditional out-of-core volume rendering: large bricks (e.g., 256³)
- Modern out-of-core volume rendering: small bricks (e.g., 32³)
 - Task-dependent brick sizes (small for rendering, large for disk/network storage)

Analysis of different brick sizes: [Fogal et al. 2013]





FILTERING AT BRICK BOUNDARIES

Duplicate voxels at border ("ghost" voxels)

- Need at least one voxel overlap
- Large overhead for small bricks

Otherwise costly filtering at brick boundary

• Except with hardware support: OpenGL sparse textures / Vulkan sparse images





PRE-COMPUTE ALL BRICKS?

Pre-computation might take very long

• Brick on demand? Brick in streaming fashion (e.g., during scanning)?

Different brick sizes for different tasks (storage, rendering)?

- Re-brick to different size on demand?
- Dynamically fix up ghost voxels?

Can also mix 2D and 3D

• E.g., 2D tiling pre-computed, but compute 3D bricks on demand



MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (1)

Collection of different resolution levels

- Standard: dyadic pyramids (2:1 resolution reduction)
- Can manually implement arbitrary reduction ratios

Mipmaps

• Isotropic





MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (2)

3D mipmaps

• Isotropic





MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (3)

Scanned volume data are often anisotropic

• Reduce resolution anisotropically until isotropy reached





BRICKING MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (1)

Each level is bricked individually

• Use same brick resolution (# voxels) in each level



level 0



level 1



spatial extent

level 2



BRICKING MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (2)

Virtual memory: Each brick will be a "page"

• "Multi-resolution virtual memory": every page lives in some resolution level

			No.
N.	S.		1.10
		たら	1
SE	alle a		100





memory extent

4x4 pages

2x2 pages

1 page



BRICKING MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (3)

Beware of aspect ratio and partially-filled pages

• Reduce total resolution in voxels; compute number of pages (ceil); iterate

		and
1		N.







spatial extent

2x2 pages

1 page



BRICKING MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (3)

Beware of aspect ratio and partially-filled pages

• Reduce total resolution in voxels; compute number of pages (ceil); iterate

			and
N.	3		
1.4	1	A 2	·M



10	16	20	18
36	678	607	181
23		10.	32
100	1.1	11.	2

memory extent

4x3 pages

2x2 pages

1 page



BRICKING MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (4)

Tail of pyramid

• Below size of single page; can cut off early









1 page



1 page

spatial extent



BRICKING MULTI-RESOLUTION PYRAMIDS (4)

Tail of pyramid

• Below size of single page; can cut off early







- **GL_ARB_sparse_texture** treats tail as single unit of residency (implementation-dependent definition of tail !)

memory extent



OCTREES FOR VOLUME RENDERING (1)

Multi-resolution

- Adapt resolution of data to screen resolution
 - Reduce aliasing
 - Limit amount of data needed

Acceleration

- Hierarchical empty space skipping
- Start traversal at root (but different optimized traversal algorithms: kd-restart, kd-shortstack, etc.)





OCTREES FOR VOLUME RENDERING (2)

Representation

- Full octree
 - Every octant in every resolution level
- Sparse octree
 - Do not store voxel data of empty nodes

Data structure

- Pointer-based
 - Parent node stores pointer(s) to children
- Pointerless
 - Array to index full octree directly



wikipedia.org



SCALABILITY ISSUES

Scalability issues	Scalable method
Data representation and storage	Multi-resolution data structures
	Data layout, compression
Work/data partitioning	In-core/out-of-core
	Parallel, distributed
Work/data reduction	Pre-processing
	On-demand processing
	Streaming
	In-situ visualization
	Query-based visualization



WORK/DATA PARTITIONING

- Out-of-core techniques
- Domain decomposition
- Parallel and distributed rendering



OUT-OF-CORE TECHNIQUES (1)

Data too large for GPU memory

• Stream volume bricks from CPU to GPU on demand

Data too large for CPU memory

• Stream volume bricks from disk on demand

Data too large for local disk storage

• Stream volume bricks from network storage





OUT-OF-CORE TECHNIQUES (2)

Preparation

- Subdivide spatial domain
 - May also be done "virtually", i.e., data re-ordering may be delayed
- Allocate cache memory (e.g., large 3D cache texture)

Run-Time

- Determine working set
- Page working set into cache memory
- Render from cache memory



6	2	0	
	14 14 17 14 14 14		

6 11	5	10	10 - S
245			



DOMAIN DECOMPOSITION (1)

Subdivide image domain (image space)

- "Sort-first rendering" [Molnar, 1994]
- View-dependent





DOMAIN DECOMPOSITION (2)

Subdivide data domain (object space)

- "Sort-last rendering" [Molnar, 1994]
- View-independent





SORT-FIRST VS. SORT-LAST







SCALABILITY ISSUES

Scalability issues	Scalable method	
Data representation and storage	Multi-resolution data structures	
	Data layout, compression	
Work/data partitioning	In-core/out-of-core	
	Parallel, distributed	
Work/data reduction	Pre-processing	
	On-demand processing	
	Streaming	
	In-situ visualization	
	Query-based visualization	



ON-DEMAND PROCESSING

First determine what is visible / needed: working set

Then process only this working set

- Basic processing
 - Noise removal and edge detection
 - Registration and alignment
 - Segmentation, ...
- Basic data structure building
 - Construct pages/bricks/octree nodes only on demand?



EXAMPLE: 3D BRICK CONSTRUCTION FROM 2D EM STREAMS [Hadwiger et al., IEEE Vis 2012]





EXAMPLE: DENOISING & EDGE ENHANCEMENT

Edge enhancement for EM data

Caching scheme

- Process only currently visible bricks
- Cache result for re-use

GPU Implementation

• CUDA and shared memory for fast computation

Different noise removal and filtering algorithms

[Jeong et al., IEEE Vis 2009] Scalable and Interactive Segmentation and Visualization of Neural Processes in EM Datasets





EXAMPLE: REGISTRATION & ALIGNMENT



[Beyer et al., CG&A 2013] Exploring the Connectome – Petascale Volume Visualization of Microscopy Data Streams



Questions?



CONFERENCE4 – 7 December 2018EXHIBITION5 – 7 December 2018Tokyo International Forum, JapanSA2018.SIGGRAPH.ORG

GPU-Based Large-Scale Scientific Visualization

Johanna Beyer, Harvard University Markus Hadwiger, KAUST

Course Website: http://johanna-b.github.io/LargeSciVis2018/index.html

